



Understanding LGBTQ+ In The Workplace



Section 1: Introduction	3
Section 2: Understanding LGBTQ+ Fundamentals	4
Basic Terminology and Concepts	4
Terms in Alphabetical Order	5
The LGBTQ+ Population	7
Key Takeaways	10
Section 3: Preventing Discrimination and Abuse	11
Learning Checkpoint: Preventing Discrimination and Abuse.....	15
Reflection	15
Key Takeaways	16
Section 4: Creating an Inclusive Environment.....	17
Cultural Competency Training	17
Developing and Implementing Policies	19
Cultivating the Culture of Inclusion	20
Key Takeaways	21
Section 5: Grievance and Dispute Resolution	22
Basics of Grievances, per CMS Federal Regulations	22
Following the Grievance Policy for LGBTQ Concerns.....	24
Tips for Handling the Grievance Process	25
Grievances Beyond the Resident.....	28
Key Takeaways	30
Section 6: The Role of the Ombudsman	31
Protecting Gender Identity Rights in Long-Term Care: A Case Study in Resident-Centered Advocacy	33

Key Takeaways	36
Section 7: Person-Centered Care Planning	37
Key Takeaways	40
Section 8: Case Studies	40
Case Study 1: Microaggressions in the Workplace	41
Discussion Questions for Administration	42
Case Study Analysis & Prevention Recommendations	43
Case Study 2: Living Two Lives	45
Discussion Questions for Administration	47
Case Study Analysis & Prevention Recommendations	47
Case Study 3: Evelyn's Case, Addressing Transgender Discrimination	49
Discussion Questions for Administration	51
Key Takeaways	52
Section 9: Conclusion	53
References	57

Section 1: Introduction

Imagine a world where every individual, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity, feels truly seen, respected, and valued. A world where nursing homes are not just places of residence, but havens of acceptance and dignity for residents and staff. This is the world we strive to create.

The landscape of long-term care is evolving, and so are the needs of our diverse community. LGBTQ+ individuals, whether residents or staff, often face unique challenges that can impact their well-being and job performance. Historical trauma, societal stigma, and a lack of understanding can lead to isolation, discrimination, and subpar care.

This course is your compass to navigate this evolving landscape. It's your opportunity to become a champion for inclusivity, to empower your staff, and to transform your facility into a beacon of hope for all LGBTQ+ individuals.

Together, we will explore the rich tapestry of LGBTQ+ identities, delve into the historical context that shaped their experiences, and uncover the unique challenges they face in receiving and providing care. We will learn to dismantle biases, foster empathy, and create a culture of respect that permeates every corner of your facility.

From crafting inclusive policies to implementing person-centered care plans, we will equip you with the tools and knowledge to provide exceptional care. You will learn to recognize and address discrimination, resolve conflicts with sensitivity, and collaborate with external advocates to ensure the well-being of both your LGBTQ+ residents and staff.

By the end of this course, you will be empowered to:

- Create a welcoming environment by fostering a culture of inclusion and respect where all individuals feel valued.
- Enhance cultural competence by developing the skills to communicate and interact with LGBTQ+ individuals effectively.
- Provide person-centered care by tailoring care plans to meet the unique needs and preferences of LGBTQ+ residents.
- Support LGBTQ+ staff by creating a supportive workplace environment for LGBTQ+ staff.

Join us on this journey to create a more inclusive and compassionate future for all. Let's make sure your nursing home is a place where every individual can thrive, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity.

Section 2: Understanding LGBTQ+ Fundamentals

To provide compassionate and effective care for LGBTQ+ individuals, it's essential to have a solid understanding of the diverse range of sexual orientations and gender identities. This section will delve into the fundamental terminology and concepts that define the LGBTQ+ community, explore its rich history and ongoing evolution, and dispel common misconceptions. By gaining this foundational knowledge, you'll be better equipped to create an inclusive and affirming environment for all.

Basic Terminology and Concepts

LGBTQ+ is an umbrella acronym that stands for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer. The "+" sign recognizes additional and varying sexual orientations and gender identities (Human Rights Campaign, n.d.). Additionally to the term queer,

the Q can represent “questioning” which includes individuals that are exploring their sexual orientation or gender identity. The LGBTQ+ acronym is also inclusive of allies. An ally is an individual who actively supports LGBTQ+ people.

Let's explore some of the most common LGBTQ+ terms and their meanings to build a foundation for understanding and providing inclusive care:

Terms in Alphabetical Order

Note: The following definitions are drawn from the Human Rights Campaign's glossary and reflect widely accepted terminology across healthcare, academic, and LGBTQ+ advocacy organizations.

Ally - A person who actively supports and advocates for the LGBTQ+ community despite not identifying as LGBTQ+ themselves.

Bisexual - A person who can form emotional, romantic, and/or sexual attractions to more than one gender. The attraction to different genders might not be equally strong or occur in the same way.

Gay - A person who is emotionally, romantically, and/or sexually attracted primarily to members of the same gender. While this term is often used specifically for men, it can also be used broadly.

Gender Affirming Care - a range of social, psychological, and medical services that help individuals align their physical and social characteristics with their gender identity. These services may include counseling, hormone therapy, and in some cases surgical procedures, to support individuals to live authentically as themselves (Boyle, 2022).

Gender Fluid - someone whose gender identity is dynamic and may shift between different genders or gender expressions over time, rather than remaining constant.

Homophobia - The fear, hatred, discomfort with, or mistrust of people who are lesbian, gay, or bisexual. This can manifest as prejudice, discrimination, harassment, and acts of violence.

Intersex - A general term used for a variety of situations in which a person is born with reproductive or sexual anatomy that doesn't fit the traditional definitions of female or male. Being intersex is about biological variations and is distinct from sexual orientation or gender identity.

Lesbian - A woman who is emotionally, romantically, and/or sexually attracted primarily to other women.

Non-binary - Individuals whose gender identity exists outside of the traditional male/female binary. This may include people who identify with multiple genders, feel their gender is fluid or dynamic, or do not identify with any gender. While some non-binary people consider themselves part of the transgender community, others may not. The term serves as a broad descriptor for various gender identities that don't fit exclusively into male or female categories.

Pansexual - A person who can form emotional, romantic, and/or sexual attractions to people regardless of their gender identity. Some pansexual people describe their attraction as being "gender-blind."

Queer - Originally a pejorative term, queer has been reclaimed by many LGBTQ+ people as a positive term of self-identification. It can be used as an umbrella term for anyone who doesn't identify as heterosexual or cisgender. However, it's important to note that not everyone in the LGBTQ+ community is comfortable with this term.

Questioning - A term used to describe people who are in the process of exploring their sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.

Sexual Orientation - Refers to a person's enduring physical, romantic, and/or emotional attraction to others. This can include attraction to people of the same gender, different gender, multiple genders, or no gender at all. Sexual orientation is distinct from gender identity.

Transgender - An umbrella term for people whose gender identity differs from the sex they were assigned at birth. Transgender people may identify as male, female, or non-binary.

The LGBTQ+ Population

As LGBTQ+ individuals age, they may face unique challenges that can significantly impact their quality of life. This section will explore the historical trauma and healthcare disparities that have shaped their experiences. We will also delve into the complexities of social support networks and family structures within the LGBTQ+ community, as well as examine relevant statistical data and demographics. By understanding these challenges, we can work towards addressing them and providing more equitable care.

Approximately 14 million adults in the United States identify as LGBTQ+, representing 5.5% of the adult population (Flores & Conron, 2023). Currently, an estimated 2.7 million adults aged 50 and over identify as LGBTQ+ and this number is expected to exceed five million by 2060 (Phan et al., 2023).

LGBTQ+ rights have evolved significantly through various political shifts. While recent years have seen important advances in protections, these rights continue to be influenced by changing political landscapes. To ensure compliance with the most current laws and regulations, it is advisable to consult the latest legislation.

Historically, the LGBTQ+ community has faced significant healthcare challenges and discrimination. The HIV/AIDS pandemic of the 1980s brought these issues to

national attention, particularly affecting marginalized groups like Black and Latinx gay men and transgender women (Crouch, 2021). This crisis highlighted the need for advocacy, leading to the formation of groups like ACT UP and organizations like Fenway Health that provided essential services and support.

Despite progress, significant barriers persist, especially for transgender and non-binary individuals. Many face negative healthcare experiences, including refusal of care and verbal harassment (Crouch, 2021). Additionally, transgender individuals often encounter challenges in accessing gender-affirming care due to limited insurance coverage and societal discrimination. Intersex individuals may experience pressure to undergo unnecessary surgeries, further eroding trust in medical institutions.

Recent years have seen both setbacks and advancements in LGBTQ+ healthcare. While previous policies have enabled discrimination against transgender individuals in healthcare settings, recent appointments of LGBTQ+ individuals to high-ranking policy positions have helped to advance LGBTQ+ rights and healthcare access. Advancements in telemedicine have improved access for rural LGBTQ+ individuals, and increased educational resources are available for medical professionals.

To ensure equitable and affirming healthcare access for all members of the LGBTQ+ community, continued efforts are underway to address systemic barriers, reduce stigma, and promote cultural competence among healthcare providers (Crouch, 2021).

Discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals extends beyond healthcare settings and has deep historical roots in American society. Understanding this broader context is crucial for healthcare administrators, as many older LGBTQ+ residents have lived through decades of institutional discrimination. This historical perspective helps explain why some LGBTQ+ individuals may be hesitant to enter regulated

healthcare environments like nursing homes. The following timeline highlights key events that have shaped LGBTQ+ experiences and rights in the United States. This timeline adapted from CNN's LGBTQ Rights Milestones Fast Facts (2024):

- 1953: Eisenhower's executive order bans LGBTQ+ individuals from federal employment, citing security concerns.
- 1973: Two landmark events:
 - Maryland enacts first state-level ban on same-sex marriage
 - American Psychiatric Association removes homosexuality from its mental disorders classification
- 1993: Clinton implements a "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" military policy, prohibiting openly LGBTQ+ service members while banning harassment of closeted personnel.
- 1996: Defense of Marriage Act signed, defining marriage federally as between one man and one woman.
- 2003: Supreme Court's *Lawrence v. Texas* decision decriminalizes same-sex relationships nationally, overturning previous rulings.
- 2015: Military Equal Opportunity policy expanded to protect gay and lesbian service members.
- 2018-2019: Trump administration implements transgender military service ban, later upheld by Supreme Court.

The long history of both healthcare and institutional discrimination continues to impact how LGBTQ+ individuals interact with healthcare systems today. Many LGBTQ+ nursing home residents have personally experienced discrimination throughout their lives - from being classified as mentally ill before 1973, to facing

legal persecution for their relationships until 2003, to experiencing employment discrimination and denial of partner benefits (CNN, 2024). These experiences can create deep-seated fears about entering long-term care facilities, where residents are particularly vulnerable and dependent on others for care. LGBTQ+ staff members may also carry similar concerns about workplace discrimination, despite recent legal protections.

Understanding this context helps administrators recognize why building trust through explicit non-discrimination policies, cultural competency training, and consistent support of LGBTQ+ rights is crucial for creating an environment where both residents and staff feel safe and respected.

Key Takeaways

- LGBTQ+ is an umbrella term encompassing diverse sexual orientations and gender identities, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and others.
- Understanding key terminology such as gender identity, gender expression, and sexual orientation is crucial for providing culturally competent care.
- The LGBTQ+ community has a rich and complex history, marked by both significant progress and ongoing challenges, including historical trauma, healthcare disparities, and discrimination.
- Key milestones in LGBTQ+ history include the removal of homosexuality from the DSM, the repeal of "Don't Ask, Don't Tell," and the legalization of same-sex marriage.
- Many LGBTQ+ individuals have experienced historical and systemic discrimination, which can impact their trust in healthcare systems and their willingness to seek care in long-term care settings.

Section 3: Preventing Discrimination and Abuse

Discrimination, both overt and subtle, can have a profound negative impact on the well-being of LGBTQ+ individuals. This section will explore various types of discrimination, including systemic barriers and microaggressions, and provide strategies to identify and address them. By implementing effective prevention strategies, such as staff training and robust reporting systems, we can create a more inclusive and respectful environment for all.

Systemic barriers are deeply embedded obstacles in society's institutions, policies, and practices that create disadvantages for specific groups. For individuals, these barriers can include discrimination in healthcare settings, lack of insurance coverage, family rejection leading to higher rates of housing instability, workplace discrimination affecting economic stability, and limited access to providers who understand LGBTQ+-specific health needs (2021).

Microaggressions are subtle, often unintentional statements or actions that communicate hostile, derogatory, or negative attitudes toward aspects of someone's identity (Washington, 2022). In nursing home settings, these can be particularly impactful as they affect both staff and residents who may be in vulnerable positions. For example, automatically assuming an elderly LGBTQ+ resident's adult visitor is their child or sibling rather than their longtime partner demonstrates heteronormative assumptions that can make LGBTQ+ residents feel invisible or invalidated. Another common microaggression in healthcare settings is asking "Do you have a husband?" to a female resident or staff member rather than using inclusive language like "Do you have a partner?" (Washington, 2022).

While these comments might seem minor, research shows that experiencing repeated microaggressions can lead to increased rates of depression, prolonged stress, and physical health issues like high blood pressure and sleep difficulties - factors that are especially concerning in a healthcare environment where both

staff and resident wellbeing is paramount (Washington, 2022). As nursing home administrators, being aware of and addressing these subtle forms of discrimination is important for creating an inclusive environment where all staff and residents can thrive.

The interconnected nature of systemic barriers and microaggressions creates a complex challenge in healthcare settings that requires a comprehensive approach to foster meaningful change. When systemic barriers like limited access to LGBTQ+-competent healthcare providers intersect with daily microaggressions, they can create compounded negative effects on both physical and mental well-being. For nursing homes to truly serve all residents equitably, they must address both the broader institutional barriers through policy changes and provider education, while simultaneously working to eliminate the subtle discriminatory practices that occur in day-to-day interactions. This dual approach - tackling both systemic and interpersonal challenges - creates a foundation for genuine cultural change that can transform nursing homes into spaces where LGBTQ+ residents and staff feel not just accepted, but truly valued and understood as integral members of the community.

In the evolving landscape of healthcare and senior living, preventing discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals has become a critical focus for nursing home administrators. Following the landmark *Bostock v. Clayton County* Supreme Court decision, it's clear that discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited under federal law (Fawbush, 2022). This protection encompasses both employment practices and resident care, requiring nursing homes to develop comprehensive approaches to ensure equality and inclusion.

For nursing home administrators, creating an inclusive environment begins with understanding the multiple dimensions of discrimination that can occur within their facilities. Discrimination can manifest in various forms, from overt actions to

subtle biases that create barriers to equal treatment and access to care. These barriers might affect both staff members and residents, potentially impacting everything from employment opportunities to the quality of care received.

When it comes to employment practices, nursing homes must ensure equal opportunities in all aspects of work life. This includes fair consideration in hiring, promotions, and benefits, as well as creating an environment where staff can authentically express their gender identity through appropriate dress codes and facility access. It's crucial to recognize that federal law prohibits making employment decisions based on others' preferences or biases, meaning that resident or family objections cannot justify discriminatory treatment of LGBTQ+ staff members.

For residents, protection against discrimination extends to all aspects of care and daily life within the facility. This includes ensuring equal access to healthcare services, respecting gender identity in room assignments and facility access, and protecting visitation rights for partners and chosen family members. Nursing homes must be particularly vigilant in addressing potential harassment or discrimination from other residents, staff, or visitors, as these interactions can significantly impact a resident's quality of life and well-being.

Creating an inclusive environment requires thoughtful attention to both policy and practice. This includes developing clear guidelines for the proper use of names and pronouns, implementing inclusive documentation and intake forms, and establishing comprehensive training programs on LGBTQ+ cultural competency. Regular staff training should address not only overt discrimination but also microaggressions that can create a hostile environment. These subtle forms of discrimination, though sometimes unintentional, can have a significant cumulative impact on both staff and residents.

Effective discrimination prevention also requires robust reporting and response procedures. Nursing homes should establish multiple channels for reporting concerns, ensure thorough investigation protocols, and protect against retaliation. This system should be accessible to both staff and residents, with clear communication about the process and available support resources. We will discuss this more thoroughly in Section 5 with Grievance policies and procedures.

Environmental considerations play a crucial role in creating an inclusive atmosphere. This might include providing gender-neutral bathroom options where possible, ensuring inclusive signage and forms throughout the facility, and representing diverse families and relationships in facility materials and activities. Additionally, nursing homes should consider establishing LGBTQ+ support groups or social activities that create opportunities for community building and mutual support.

Healthcare access and support must be tailored to meet the specific needs of LGBTQ+ residents. This includes ensuring access to LGBTQ+-competent healthcare providers, supporting gender-affirming care needs, and recognizing healthcare proxies and powers of attorney without discrimination. Equal access to mental health and support services is particularly important, as LGBTQ+ individuals may face unique challenges in their later years.

Through these comprehensive approaches, nursing homes can work to eliminate discriminatory practices and create an environment where all staff and residents feel welcomed, respected, and valued. The key to success lies in regular review and updates of these practices, ensuring they remain effective and responsive to the evolving needs of the LGBTQ+ community. By maintaining a commitment to equality and inclusion, nursing homes can better serve their entire community while meeting their legal and ethical obligations.

Learning Checkpoint: Preventing Discrimination and Abuse

1. Identify the Different Types of Discrimination

- What are the key differences between systemic barriers and microaggressions?
- How can both types of discrimination affect the well-being of LGBTQ+ individuals in nursing home settings?

2. Strategies for Prevention

- What are some effective strategies for addressing microaggressions and systemic barriers in a nursing home environment?
- How can staff training, inclusive policies, and a robust reporting system help prevent discrimination and foster an inclusive culture?

3. Creating an Inclusive Environment

- What environmental changes can a nursing home make to promote inclusion (e.g., signage, bathroom options, or social activities)?
- How can nursing homes ensure that LGBTQ+ residents have access to appropriate healthcare, mental health services, and support from staff?

Reflection

Consider how the principles discussed in this section can be applied in your facility to prevent discrimination and create a welcoming, respectful environment for both residents and staff. How will you ensure ongoing improvement and responsiveness to the evolving needs of the LGBTQ+ community in your care setting?

Key Takeaways

- Systemic barriers and microaggressions pose significant challenges to LGBTQ+ individuals in healthcare settings, impacting their well-being and access to care.
- Microaggressions, such as misgendering or making heteronormative assumptions, can have a profound impact on the mental and emotional health of LGBTQ+ residents and staff.
- Addressing discrimination requires a multi-faceted approach, including:
 - Staff training: Comprehensive training on LGBTQ+ cultural competency, including awareness of microaggressions and implicit biases.
 - Robust reporting systems: Establishing clear and accessible channels for reporting discrimination and harassment, with robust investigation and response protocols.
 - Policy development: Implementing clear policies that prohibit discrimination and promote inclusivity, including guidelines for respectful communication, gender-neutral language, and inclusive visitation policies.
 - Environmental considerations: Creating a physically and socially inclusive environment, such as providing gender-neutral restrooms, using inclusive signage, and offering LGBTQ+-affirming activities.
 - Ensuring access to care: Providing access to LGBTQ+-competent healthcare providers, supporting gender-affirming care, and recognizing the diversity of family structures.

Section 4: Creating an Inclusive Environment

To foster a truly inclusive environment, it's essential to implement policies and practices that promote dignity, respect, and equity for all individuals, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. This section will explore practical strategies, such as cultural competency training, as well as the development and implementation of inclusive policies, including non-discrimination and visitation policies. By prioritizing these initiatives, we can create a welcoming and supportive space for LGBTQ+ residents and staff.

Cultural Competency Training

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2024), cultural competency training aims to equip healthcare and human service professionals with the knowledge and skills to effectively work with diverse populations. It involves understanding and valuing different cultures, recognizing and addressing biases, and adapting practices to meet the unique needs of individuals from various backgrounds. This includes developing an awareness of how cultural factors, such as race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic status, can influence health beliefs, behaviors, and access to care (CDC, 2024). Ultimately, cultural competency training seeks to improve the quality of care and reduce health disparities by fostering a more inclusive and equitable environment for all individuals.

Cultural competency training is not a one-time event; it's an ongoing process. To make it engaging and impactful, we need to move beyond simply presenting information. We want to create a safe and open space for staff to learn, reflect, and ask questions.

We can start by making the training relevant to our specific facility. We can use real-life scenarios, drawn from our own experiences or adapted from relevant case studies, to illustrate key concepts. For example, we can discuss how to handle a resident who expresses a desire to change their name or pronouns, or how to navigate a situation where a family member expresses disapproval of a resident's partner.

Role-playing exercises can be incredibly valuable. We can simulate scenarios where staff members practice using inclusive language, responding to resident requests, and addressing potential challenges. These exercises provide a safe and controlled environment for staff to develop and practice their communication skills.

Finally, we need to create opportunities for ongoing dialogue and feedback. We can conduct anonymous surveys to gather staff feedback on the training and identify areas for improvement. We can also establish a dedicated forum or support group where staff can discuss challenges, share best practices, and seek guidance from colleagues and supervisors. By fostering a culture of continuous learning and open communication, we can ensure that our staff are equipped to provide the highest quality of care to all residents, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity."

This approach emphasizes the importance of:

- **Relevance:** Making the training relevant to the specific needs and experiences of the facility.
- **Engagement:** Utilizing interactive methods such as role-playing and case studies.
- **Ongoing learning:** Creating opportunities for continuous dialogue and feedback.

By implementing these strategies, we can create a more engaging and effective training program that empowers staff to provide culturally competent and affirming care for all residents.

Developing and Implementing Policies

Establishing a foundation of inclusive policies is crucial for creating a welcoming and respectful environment for all residents and staff within a long-term care setting. These policies serve as a roadmap for ethical and equitable care, ensuring that the rights and dignity of all individuals are upheld.

A robust non-discrimination policy is paramount. This policy should explicitly prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, race, ethnicity, religion, age, disability, and other protected characteristics. It's essential to go beyond simply stating prohibitions. The policy should clearly outline the consequences of discriminatory behavior, including disciplinary action up to and including termination of employment for staff, and appropriate measures to address resident-on-resident harassment. Furthermore, aligning this policy with relevant federal, state, and local laws, such as Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act, ensures legal compliance and provides a strong framework for action.

Traditional visitation policies often assume traditional family structures. To foster inclusivity, these policies should be revised to embrace diverse family arrangements. Utilizing inclusive language such as "partner," "significant other," "designated support person," or "family member" ensures that all residents feel valued and respected. Ultimately, visitation decisions should be made in collaboration with the resident, respecting their autonomy and honoring their chosen support system.

Beyond non-discrimination, policies must explicitly address resident rights as outlined by CMS regulations. This includes the right to be free from abuse, neglect, and exploitation; the right to privacy and confidentiality regarding their sexual orientation and gender identity; the right to dignity and respect; and the right to self-determination in their care decisions.

Policy development is an ongoing process. Regularly review and update policies to ensure they remain current with legal requirements, best practices, and the evolving needs of the LGBTQ+ community. Involving residents, families, staff, and other stakeholders in the policy review process fosters a sense of ownership and ensures that the policies truly reflect the needs and perspectives of those they are intended to serve.

By implementing these comprehensive and inclusive policies, long-term care facilities can create a strong foundation for a truly welcoming and respectful environment for all residents and staff.

Cultivating the Culture of Inclusion

While comprehensive training protocols and robust policies are essential foundations, creating a truly inclusive environment requires a deeper commitment. It necessitates a proactive and consistent effort to cultivate a culture of respect, dignity, and belonging for all residents and staff.

As the Nursing Home Administrator, you play a critical role in setting the tone for this culture. Your words and actions have a profound impact on the overall environment. By consistently modeling inclusive behavior, using respectful language, and actively demonstrating your commitment to diversity and equity, you inspire and guide your staff.

Furthermore, actively fostering a sense of community is paramount. Offer a variety of engaging activities, entertainment options, and outings that appeal to a diverse range of interests and preferences. This not only enriches the lives of residents but also provides opportunities for social interaction and community building.

Remember, inclusivity is not just about adhering to policies; it's about creating a genuine sense of belonging for every individual within the facility. By actively promoting a culture of respect, celebrating diversity, and consistently upholding the rights and dignity of all residents and staff, you can transform your nursing home into a truly welcoming and inclusive community.

Key Takeaways

- Develop ongoing cultural competency training that uses real-world scenarios, and interactive role-play, and creates safe spaces for staff learning and feedback.
- Implement comprehensive non-discrimination and visitation policies that explicitly protect LGBTQ+ identities, outline clear consequences for violations, and use inclusive language.
- Administrators must actively model inclusive behavior while fostering a community that celebrates diversity through varied activities and social opportunities.
- Create systematic feedback mechanisms including anonymous surveys, support groups, and regular policy reviews to ensure continuous improvement of inclusive practices.

Section 5: Grievance and Dispute Resolution

Effective grievance procedures and conflict resolution strategies are essential for maintaining a harmonious and respectful environment. This section will explore best practices for handling grievances, including establishing clear reporting channels and conducting thorough investigations. Additionally, we will delve into techniques for resolving conflicts, such as mediation and de-escalation strategies, while prioritizing cultural sensitivity and preventing retaliation. By implementing these approaches, we can address issues promptly and fairly, fostering a positive and supportive atmosphere.

Basics of Grievances, per CMS Federal Regulations

The following information is based on federal regulations under 42 CFR § 483.10(j) and related CMS guidance.

Nursing homes must establish and implement comprehensive grievance policies that protect residents' rights to voice concerns without fear of discrimination or retaliation. Federal regulations mandate specific requirements that ensure a thorough and responsive grievance process while maintaining proper documentation and resolution procedures.

At the heart of an effective grievance system is the designated Grievance Official, a role specifically required by federal regulations. This individual oversees the entire grievance process, from initial receipt through final resolution. Their responsibilities include ensuring proper investigation of complaints, maintaining required documentation, and providing written decisions to residents or their representatives.

The grievance process must be transparent and accessible to all residents. Facilities are required to prominently display information about how to file

grievances, including contact information for relevant state agencies and advocacy organizations. This information must be provided in a format that residents can understand, and facilities must offer assistance to those who need help filing their concerns.

When handling grievances, nursing homes must maintain detailed documentation that tracks the entire process. This includes the initial complaint, investigation steps, findings, and any corrective actions taken. Federal regulations require these records to be maintained for at least three years from the date of resolution. The documentation must demonstrate not only that the facility addressed the immediate concern but also took steps to prevent similar issues in the future.

A critical aspect of the grievance process is the written decision provided to residents. This document must include specific elements mandated by federal regulations: the date the grievance was received, steps taken to investigate, findings and conclusions, whether the grievance was confirmed, any corrective actions taken, and the completion date. This comprehensive response ensures transparency and accountability in the resolution process.

The facility must protect residents' rights to file anonymous grievances and ensure protection from any form of retaliation. This includes maintaining confidentiality throughout the process and creating an environment where residents feel safe expressing their concerns. Staff must be trained to recognize and report potential grievances, understand their role in the process, and maintain appropriate confidentiality.

While federal regulations don't specify exact timeframes for initial responses, they require "prompt efforts" to resolve grievances. Facilities should establish reasonable internal timelines based on the nature of grievances and availability of resources, ensuring regular communication with residents about the status of their complaints.

Through proper implementation of these federally mandated requirements, nursing homes can create an environment where residents feel empowered to voice concerns while ensuring compliance with CMS regulations. This system not only meets regulatory requirements but also contributes to continuous quality improvement and enhanced resident satisfaction.

Remember that while these federal requirements establish the baseline for grievance procedures, individual states may have additional requirements that must be followed in conjunction with federal regulations. Nursing home administrators should stay informed about both federal and state requirements to ensure complete compliance in their grievance resolution processes.

Following the Grievance Policy for LGBTQ Concerns

The grievance policy serves as a critical safeguard for LGBTQ residents and staff, ensuring their concerns are heard, documented, and addressed appropriately. When LGBTQ-related grievances are handled with the same level of professionalism and urgency as all other complaints, it demonstrates the facility's commitment to equal treatment and dignity for all.

Key Components

- Ensure the grievance process is accessible and communicated to all residents and staff
- Train grievance officers in LGBTQ cultural competency to handle complaints sensitively
- Document all LGBTQ-related grievances thoroughly to identify patterns of discrimination
- Follow up consistently to prevent retaliation and verify the resolution

For example, if a resident reports that staff members are refusing to use their correct pronouns, this grievance should trigger immediate investigation and corrective action, just as any other form of disrespect or discrimination would. The resolution process should include:

- Prompt investigation of the complaint
- Education or re-training for involved staff
- Clear communication with the resident about steps taken
- Follow-up monitoring to ensure the behavior has stopped

By consistently following grievance procedures for LGBTQ concerns, facilities demonstrate their commitment to creating a truly inclusive environment where all residents feel safe reporting discrimination and confident that their concerns will be addressed.

Tips for Handling the Grievance Process

Conflicts are inevitable in any community, including our long-term care facility. When disagreements arise, it's crucial to navigate them with sensitivity, respect, and a commitment to finding equitable resolutions. This section outlines a framework for effective grievance resolution that prioritizes open communication, understanding, and a commitment to creating a harmonious environment for all.

1. Initial Assessment & Response

- When a grievance arises, promptly document all details clearly and concisely.
- Conduct a thorough and objective assessment of the situation.

- Determine if any immediate safety concerns require immediate action, such as separating individuals or contacting emergency services.
- If appropriate, involve external resources such as the Long-Term Care Ombudsman.

2. De-escalation Strategies

- Acknowledge and validate emotions without judgment.
- Use respectful and inclusive language.
- Avoid making assumptions and actively listen to all perspectives.
- Create a safe and neutral space for communication.
- Redirect conversations from personal attacks to specific behaviors and concerns.

3. Mediation and Resolution

- Encourage open and honest communication between all parties involved.
- Consider involving trained mediators or facilitators to assist in the resolution process.
- Explore possible solutions collaboratively, focusing on finding mutually agreeable outcomes.

4. Preventing Retaliation

- Implement confidential reporting mechanisms to encourage open communication without fear of reprisal.

- Monitor interactions closely to ensure there is no retaliation against the individual who filed the grievance.
- Document all interactions and follow-up actions meticulously.
- Establish clear and consistent consequences for any acts of retaliation.

5. Learning and Growth

- Every grievance presents an opportunity for improvement.
- Analyze each situation to identify potential systemic issues or areas for improvement in training or policies.
- Provide relevant training to staff based on the specific issues raised by the grievance.
- Offer support resources to affected individuals, such as counseling services or employee assistance programs.

6. Continuous Monitoring and Improvement

- Regularly review and evaluate the effectiveness of your grievance resolution process.
- Track resolution timeframes, monitor satisfaction with outcomes, and identify any recurring issues or patterns.
- Use this data to refine your approach and continuously improve the overall effectiveness of your grievance resolution system.

Remember:

- Take all grievances seriously.
- Document all incidents thoroughly and objectively.

- Ensure a prompt and fair investigation of all concerns.
- A strong grievance system is essential for a safe, respectful, and harmonious environment for all residents and staff.

Grievances Beyond the Resident

As an Administrator, it is easy to become deeply focused on the well-being of residents. After all, residents are at the heart of everything we do. However, in our dedication to meeting regulatory requirements and ensuring high-quality resident care, it is essential not to overlook the equally important role that staff plays in the overall success of the facility. A motivated, well-supported team directly impacts the quality of care we can provide, which in turn affects resident satisfaction, compliance, and overall outcomes.

While we often direct our attention to the myriad of state and federal regulations governing resident care, it is equally crucial to remember that employee satisfaction, support, and development should be prioritized as well. Staff are the backbone of any long-term care facility, and their well-being, job satisfaction, and professional development are essential for creating a positive, high-performing work environment. Neglecting these aspects can lead to high turnover, burnout, and ultimately a decline in the quality of care provided to residents.

Just as we utilize grievance procedures for resident complaints, it is equally important to have clear, accessible grievance procedures in place for staff. Employees should feel that they can raise concerns in a safe and constructive environment. This involves fostering a culture of open communication, where staff know their grievances will be taken seriously, investigated thoroughly, and addressed appropriately.

These grievance procedures should be clearly outlined in staff handbooks, regularly reviewed during staff training, and reinforced through leadership. As part of your leadership role, you should ensure that all staff members understand how to file a grievance, what the process looks like, and the expected timeline for resolution.

In any workplace, conflicts will inevitably arise. However, how these conflicts are handled is what differentiates a healthy, productive work environment from one that is toxic or inefficient. As a nursing home administrator, it is essential to implement proactive conflict resolution strategies to address staff disputes before they escalate.

Conflict resolution training should be an integral part of your staff development program. Managers and supervisors must be equipped with the skills to address interpersonal issues, clarify misunderstandings, and mediate disputes effectively. By offering regular training in these areas, you will help create an atmosphere of mutual respect, understanding, and collaboration among staff members.

Additionally, when conflicts do arise, it's important to address them promptly and professionally. No employee concern, whether related to interpersonal issues, workload, or job expectations, should be dismissed or taken lightly. Even minor grievances can, if left unaddressed, escalate into larger issues that negatively impact team morale and resident care.

It's essential to remember that every grievance is serious and should be treated with the appropriate level of attention and respect. Ignoring or downplaying staff concerns can create an environment where employees feel undervalued, leading to dissatisfaction, disengagement, and higher turnover rates.

As a leader, your approach to resolving grievances must demonstrate to staff that their concerns are valid and will be handled fairly and efficiently. Consistently

addressing grievances in a timely and thoughtful manner will foster trust and respect within your team, ultimately improving the overall work culture and resident care.

In conclusion, it is essential to take both resident and staff grievances seriously, ensuring that all concerns—especially those related to LGBTQ+ issues—are addressed with fairness, respect, and sensitivity. Creating an environment where both residents and staff feel heard and valued is fundamental to fostering trust, promoting equality, and maintaining a positive and inclusive atmosphere. By handling grievances with the same level of attention and care, regardless of the issue, we demonstrate our commitment to a workplace and care environment that honors the dignity and rights of everyone. This approach not only strengthens team morale but also enhances the quality of care provided to all residents.

Key Takeaways

- Ensure all grievance procedures adhere to CMS regulations, including proper documentation, timely resolution, and resident rights protection.
- Recognize the crucial role of the designated Grievance Official in overseeing the entire process.
- Make the grievance process accessible to all residents, with clear information on how to file a complaint and the availability of assistance.
- Maintain confidentiality throughout the process and implement measures to prevent retaliation against individuals who file grievances.
- Monitor grievances for trends, with a specific focus on identifying and addressing any potential instances of discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity, or any other protected characteristic.

Section 6: The Role of the Ombudsman

Ombudsmen serve as vital advocates within the long-term care system, functioning as a bridge between residents, families, and facilities. Established under the Older Americans Act, the Ombudsman program represents an essential resource that nursing home administrators should embrace as partners in providing excellence in care, particularly for diverse resident populations.

These trained professionals investigate and resolve complaints made by or on behalf of residents while supporting facilities in creating inclusive environments. During regular facility visits, they observe conditions, interact with residents, and ensure rights are protected for all residents, including those from marginalized communities. Their role is particularly valuable in supporting LGBTQ+ residents who may face unique challenges, such as discrimination, family-of-choice visitation issues, or concerns about expressing their identity openly.

Federal law grants Ombudsmen significant authority while maintaining strict confidentiality (National Long-Term Care Ombudsman Resource Center, 2024). They can enter facilities at reasonable times, review resident records with appropriate permission, and meet privately with residents. This authority enables them to conduct thorough investigations, resolve complaints, and advocate for systemic improvements in resident care. They provide detailed information about long-term care services and support facilities in developing inclusive policies (National Long-Term Care Ombudsman Resource Center, 2024).

The program's effectiveness stems from its resident-directed approach, where actions are guided by resident wishes while recognizing diverse population needs. For nursing home administrators, cultivating a strong relationship with their local Ombudsman can significantly enhance facility operations. These professionals bring valuable expertise in creating inclusive environments and mediating

sensitive situations. Their insights prove invaluable for staff training on cultural competency and resident rights, while their ability to facilitate improved communication helps prevent and resolve conflicts.

Working closely with Ombudsmen helps facilities enhance resident satisfaction while improving service delivery to meet diverse needs. Their involvement strengthens resident-centered care practices and helps prevent regulatory issues. Through this collaboration, facilities can build stronger trust with residents and their support networks while developing more inclusive policies that address the specific needs of all residents, including those from the LGBTQ+ community.

To create an effective partnership, administrators should:

- Welcome Ombudsmen's presence and expertise in resident council meetings and diversity initiatives
- Maintain prompt responses to inquiries and share relevant facility policies
- Seek their input on new resident-centered initiatives
- Utilize their expertise when addressing challenges related to diverse populations

However, it's important to understand the scope of the Ombudsman's role. While they are valuable resources, they are not intended to serve as regular trainers or mediators. Many positions are volunteer-based, and Ombudsmen often serve multiple facilities within their designated territories.

For effective collaboration, facilities should:

- Stay informed about all applicable state and federal guidelines
- Contact the Ombudsman only for issues within their scope of responsibility

- Be mindful of their workload and avoid unnecessary contact for matters that can be addressed internally

By viewing Ombudsmen as partners rather than adversaries, administrators can leverage their expertise to enhance care quality, resident satisfaction, and inclusive practices. This partnership can lead to innovative solutions and a stronger, more responsive long-term care community that truly serves all its members.

Protecting Gender Identity Rights in Long-Term Care: A Case Study in Resident-Centered Advocacy

In long-term care settings, residents' rights to dignity, respect, and self-determination are fundamental. However, LGBTQ+ residents, particularly transgender individuals, may face unique challenges in having their identities respected and acknowledged. The following case study illustrates how a long-term care ombudsman identified, investigated, and resolved a situation involving the misgendering of a transgender resident. This example demonstrates the crucial role ombudsmen play in protecting residents' rights, the importance of resident-directed advocacy, and the positive outcomes that can be achieved through collaborative problem-solving with facility staff. Through this real-world scenario, we explore effective strategies for supporting LGBTQ+ residents while fostering institutional change that promotes inclusive care for all.

During a routine visit to Evergreen Manor Nursing Center, Sarah Chen, a long-term care ombudsman, noticed something concerning while observing interactions in the facility's main dining room. A resident named Markie Wilson, who presented clearly as female and wore feminine clothing, was repeatedly referred to as "he" and "him" by various staff members. Sarah observed Markie's visible discomfort

each time this occurred, noting how the resident's shoulders would tense and her gaze would drop to her lap.

After completing her general observations, Sarah sought out Markie for a private conversation in her room. "I noticed some interactions earlier that seemed to upset you," Sarah began gently. "Would you like to talk about it?"

Markie's eyes welled with tears as she shared her story. She had transitioned over thirty years ago and had been living authentically as a woman ever since. All her legal documentation reflected her female identity. However, since entering the nursing home three months ago following a stroke, several staff members have consistently misgendered her after seeing her deadname on some old medical records.

"I've tried to correct them politely," Markie explained, her voice trembling. "Some staff members are wonderful and respectful, but others either ignore my requests or tell me they have to go by what's in my 'original medical records.' It makes me feel like I'm being erased. I've spent decades living as my true self, and now, when I'm most vulnerable, I feel like I'm losing my identity all over again."

Sarah listened attentively as Markie described feeling increasingly isolated, sometimes choosing to skip meals in the dining room to avoid public misgendering. She had even begun refusing participation in group activities she once enjoyed.

With Markie's permission, Sarah took several actions:

1. First, she helped Markie file a formal complaint regarding the discrimination she was experiencing, documenting specific instances and their impact on her well-being.

2. Sarah then arranged a meeting with the facility's administrator and Director of Nursing. She explained how the staff's actions violated both federal resident rights and state non-discrimination policies. She emphasized that refusing to respect a resident's gender identity constituted discrimination and could result in regulatory citations.
3. Working with the facility's leadership, Sarah helped develop an action plan that included:
 - Immediate staff education on LGBTQ+ resident rights and respectful care
 - Updates to Markie's care plan explicitly documenting her correct name and pronouns
 - A facility-wide policy review to ensure inclusive practices for all residents
 - Implementation of a system to properly document and honor residents' gender identities in all facility records

One month later, Sarah returned for a follow-up visit. She found Markie participating in her favorite morning exercise class, smiling, and engaging with other residents. During their private conversation, Markie shared that most staff members were now consistently using her correct pronouns, and those who had been resistant had at least stopped misgendering her publicly.

"For the first time since I arrived, I feel seen for who I am," Markie told Sarah. "I can focus on my rehabilitation instead of constantly defending my identity. Thank you for helping me find my voice again."

The case highlighted several important lessons:

- The profound impact that seemingly "small" acts of disrespect can have on resident well-being

- The importance of ombudsmen being observant of subtle signs of distress
- How systemic changes can be implemented through collaborative problem-solving
- The vital role ombudsmen play in protecting resident dignity and rights

This case also demonstrated how ombudsmen can effectively advocate for LGBTQ+ residents by:

- Responding promptly to observed discrimination
- Understanding relevant regulations and resident rights
- Working collaboratively with facilities to implement positive changes
- Following up to ensure sustained improvements in care

Through this intervention, the ombudsman not only helped one resident but also facilitated lasting changes that would benefit future LGBTQ+ residents in the facility.

Key Takeaways

- Ombudsmen are legally empowered advocates who investigate complaints and protect resident rights in long-term care facilities.
- Ombudsmen specialize in supporting marginalized residents, including LGBTQ+ individuals, by promoting inclusive policies and preventing discrimination.
- Nursing homes benefit most when administrators view Ombudsmen as partners, welcoming their expertise while respecting their role's limitations.

Section 7: Person-Centered Care Planning

Person-centered care planning is essential to ensure that the unique needs and preferences of LGBTQ+ older adults are met. This section will explore the key components of the care planning process, including initial assessments, identifying specific needs, and involving partners and family members. We will also discuss strategies for implementing care plans, monitoring progress, and making necessary adjustments to ensure optimal outcomes. By prioritizing person-centered care, we can enhance the quality of life for LGBTQ+ residents.

Imagine walking into a new home. You wouldn't just start living there without a plan, would you? You'd want to understand the layout, find the kitchen, and figure out where to sleep. Similarly, when a resident enters our care, we need a roadmap – a comprehensive care plan.

This isn't just about ticking a regulatory box; it's about ensuring each resident receives the highest quality of care. A well-developed care plan is the cornerstone of excellent resident care. It's a dynamic document that outlines the individual needs, preferences, and goals of each resident.

Think about it: a care plan guides our every action. It ensures consistent care across shifts, helps prevent medical errors, and allows us to proactively address potential challenges. By involving residents in the planning process, we empower them and ensure their voices are heard.

Beyond individual care, a strong care plan fosters collaboration among the entire care team. Nurses, physicians, therapists, social workers – everyone is on the same page, working together to achieve the best possible outcomes for each resident.

Of course, complying with regulations is essential. As outlined in 42 CFR §483.21, developing and implementing comprehensive care plans is a fundamental requirement for all nursing homes. Below you can see the exact regulations (*Guidance to Surveyors for Long-Term Care Facilities 2024*):

F655

(Rev. 173, Issued: 11-22-17, Effective: 11-28-17, Implementation: 11-28-17)

§483.21 Comprehensive Person-Centered Care Planning

§483.21(a) Baseline Care Plans

§483.21(a)(1) The facility must develop and implement a baseline care plan for each resident that includes the instructions needed to provide effective and person-centered care of the resident that meets professional standards of quality care. The baseline care plan must—

- (i) Be developed within 48 hours of a resident's admission.
- (ii) Include the minimum healthcare information necessary to properly care for a resident including, but not limited to—
 - (A) Initial goals based on admission orders.
 - (B) Physician orders.
 - (C) Dietary orders.
 - (D) Therapy services.
 - (E) Social services.
 - (F) PASARR recommendation, if applicable.

§483.21(a)(2) The facility may develop a comprehensive care plan in place of the baseline care plan if the comprehensive care plan—

- (i) Is developed within 48 hours of the resident's admission.
- (ii) Meets the requirements set forth in paragraph (b) of this section (excepting paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section).

§483.21(a)(3) The facility must provide the resident and their representative with a summary of the baseline care plan that includes but is not limited to:

- (i) The initial goals of the resident.
- (ii) A summary of the resident's medications and dietary instructions.
- (iii) Any services and treatments to be administered by the facility and personnel acting on behalf of the facility.
- (iv) Any updated information based on the details of the comprehensive care plan, as necessary.

The regulation and information outlined above should be carefully reviewed. **Pro tip:** Take a moment to check your care plans and ensure that baseline care plans are properly established. Consider each of your residents—do any identify as LGBTQ+? If so, it's crucial to conduct a thorough audit of their plan of care and medical chart. Review the plan to ensure it is person-centered, reflecting the resident's unique preferences and needs. This includes noting pronoun preferences, information about their spouse or partner, and any specific support services they may require. Additionally, assess whether the resident is currently seeing the Social Worker team or utilizing Mental Health Services. If not, is there a need for these services? While not all residents may require mental health support, it is an important consideration for LGBTQ+ residents, who may have faced discrimination or other challenges in the past.

Key Takeaways

- Develop individualized care plans that reflect the unique needs, preferences, and identities of each resident, including LGBTQ+ considerations like pronoun preferences, partner information, and specific support services. Ensure active involvement of the resident and their family or partner in the planning process.
- Ensure baseline care plans are created within 48 hours of admission, meeting regulatory requirements (42 CFR §483.21), and are followed by comprehensive care plans. Foster collaboration across the care team to provide coordinated, high-quality care for all residents.
- Regularly review and adjust care plans based on residents' evolving needs, with special attention to mental health support for LGBTQ+ individuals. Ensure staff are trained in cultural competency to address the unique challenges faced by LGBTQ+ residents, promoting a respectful and inclusive environment.

Section 8: Case Studies

The following case studies examine three critical ethical dilemmas encountered in long-term care: the impact of microaggressions, the ethical responsibility of protecting a resident's sexual orientation from family disapproval, and the complexities of addressing resident-on-resident harassment. These scenarios highlight the importance of navigating sensitive social issues while upholding ethical principles, respecting autonomy, and fostering an inclusive environment for all residents.

Case Study 1: Microaggressions in the Workplace

Marcus had been a Licensed Practical Nurse at Riverside Gardens Nursing Home for three years, and most days, he loved his job. The residents adored his gentle approach and quick smile, and his clinical skills were consistently praised in performance reviews. But lately, the atmosphere at work had become increasingly uncomfortable.

It started after he'd mentioned his husband David during a staff lunch break. Sarah, another nurse, immediately pushed her chair back from the table and announced, "I need to switch shifts. I just don't feel comfortable working so closely with... you know." Her meaningful look made Marcus's stomach twist, and the break room fell silent.

The hostility grew more obvious. During morning handoffs, James, a nursing assistant, would refuse to make eye contact and once loudly asked, "Can someone else take report? I don't want people getting the wrong idea about me." Other staff members awkwardly looked away, their silence feeling like tacit approval.

The holiday party planning committee, which Marcus had served on for two years, became another battlefield. Karen, the coordinator, pulled him aside and said, "We're trying to keep things traditional this year. You understand, right? Some staff members are concerned about their children being exposed to... alternative lifestyles." The comment stung, especially since Marcus had organized the most successful staff Santa photo booth the previous year.

During care plan meetings, his clinical expertise was regularly undermined. "Are you sure you're being objective?" the charge nurse would ask whenever Marcus presented his assessments. "Your... personal situation might be affecting your judgment." These professional attacks were perhaps the most painful, as they questioned not just his identity but his competence as a nurse.

Marcus began second-guessing every interaction, wondering which of his colleagues actually supported him and which were just waiting for their chance to make another cutting remark. He started eating lunch alone in his car and volunteering for the most isolated assignments, anything to avoid the sideways glances and whispered conversations.

One evening, while charting at the nurses' station, Chen, a veteran nurse of fifteen years, noticed Marcus's distraction. After their shift, Chen caught up with him in the parking lot. "I couldn't help but notice what's been happening," Chen said. "Twenty years ago, I was the only Asian nurse in my previous facility. The things people said, the ways they'd mock my accent or assume I couldn't understand them... I know what it's like when people try to push you out."

The conversation with Chen helped Marcus realize he wasn't alone, and that staying silent wouldn't make things better. The facility had anti-discrimination policies, but like many workplaces, they hadn't addressed these behaviors effectively. Perhaps it was time to start that conversation, not just for himself but for others who might follow.

"You know," Chen added, "sometimes people think their prejudices are invisible just because they don't say the quiet part out loud. But discrimination dressed up in 'professional concerns' is still discrimination. The question is, are we going to let them keep pretending?"

Discussion Questions for Administration

1. How would you handle the immediate situation with Sarah and James's openly discriminatory behavior?
2. What specific policies could prevent the exclusion from professional activities like the party planning committee?

3. How would you address the undermining of clinical judgment in care plan meetings?
4. What steps would you take to empower other staff members, like Chen, to become active allies rather than silent observers?

Remember: As an administrator, your response sets the tone for the entire facility. Swift, consistent action against discrimination protects not only your staff but also the quality of care your residents receive.

Case Study Analysis & Prevention Recommendations

Based on the case study "The Small Things: A Case Study in Workplace Microaggressions," we can identify several critical patterns of discriminatory behavior and recommend specific actions for nursing home administrators. This analysis provides a framework for understanding how discrimination manifests in healthcare settings and outlines concrete steps to create an inclusive workplace environment.

The case demonstrates a troubling progression of discriminatory behaviors that emerged and intensified over time, indicating systemic issues within the workplace culture and accountability structures:

1. The discrimination escalated from subtle to overt behaviors, indicating a lack of proper workplace culture and accountability:
 - Initial social distancing
 - Open refusal to work together
 - Discrimination disguised as "professional concerns"
 - Exclusion from workplace activities

- Questioning of clinical judgment based on identity

To address these issues effectively, nursing home administrators should implement a comprehensive approach that includes immediate interventions and long-term structural changes:

1. Immediate Response:

- Address discriminatory behavior as soon as it occurs
- Document all incidents thoroughly
- Meet individually with staff members exhibiting discriminatory behavior
- Provide clear consequences for policy violations

2. Policy Development:

- Create specific policies addressing LGBTQ discrimination
- Include examples of unacceptable behaviors in policy documentation
- Establish clear reporting procedures
- Define escalation processes for repeated violations

3. Preventive Measures:

- Implement regular diversity and inclusion training
- Create anonymous reporting systems
- Establish LGBTQ employee resource groups
- Include diversity metrics in department evaluations

4. Culture Building:

- Lead by example in using inclusive language

- Celebrate diversity through facility events and recognition
- Create mentorship programs
- Regularly assess workplace climate through anonymous surveys

These observations and action steps provide a foundation for creating and maintaining an inclusive workplace environment. By implementing these measures systematically, administrators can work to prevent similar situations and foster a workplace culture that values and protects all staff members.

Case Study 2: Living Two Lives

Eleanor Thompson had lived at Sunnyside Care Center for just over eight months. At 78, she was one of the more active residents, known for her sharp wit and talent for watercolor painting. Most evenings, she could be found in the activity room, brushes in hand, creating delicate landscapes that earned her quiet fame among the staff and residents.

What few people knew, except for her primary nurse Maria, was that many of these paintings were inspired by memories of her life with Ruth, her partner of thirty years who had passed away five years ago. To Eleanor's family – her son Michael, daughter-in-law Susan, and their children – Ruth had been her "roommate" and "best friend."

The pain of this dual life became particularly acute during family visits. Michael would proudly show his mother photos of his children, asking when she might consider dating again, suggesting widowed men from his church group. Eleanor would smile politely, her heart aching as she glanced at the small watercolor on her nightstand – a garden scene that, to her alone, held the memory of the rose bushes she and Ruth had tenderly cultivated together.

One afternoon, while Maria was helping Eleanor with her medication, Michael dropped by unexpectedly. Eleanor quickly asked Maria to hide the photo album she'd been showing her, which contained precious pictures of her life with Ruth. The moment left her shaking, tears threatening to spill over.

"I love my family," Eleanor confided to Maria later that evening. "But living this half-truth... sometimes it feels like I'm erasing Ruth all over again. Every day they visit, I have to tuck away our memories, pretend thirty years of love was just friendship. It's exhausting."

The situation became more complicated when Eleanor's health temporarily declined, requiring more family involvement in care decisions. During care planning meetings, she would tense up whenever discussions about her support system arose, fearful that her true relationship with Ruth might somehow be revealed.

The staff struggled too, wanting to provide holistic, emotionally supportive care while respecting Eleanor's wishes for privacy. They couldn't acknowledge the grief she still carried for Ruth in family meetings, couldn't fully support her emotional needs in the way they would for other grieving residents.

Maria noticed that Eleanor's paintings began to feature more shadows during this time, darker colors seeping into her usually bright landscapes. Yet Eleanor continued to smile for her family, playing the role of the widow they expected her to be, while privately mourning not just Ruth, but the freedom to honor their love openly.

"Sometimes," Eleanor whispered to Maria one evening, "I wonder if I'm too old to finally tell the truth. Then I remember Ruth's smile, and I feel like I'm betraying her all over again by keeping her a secret. But the thought of losing my family... I just can't take that risk. Not at this stage of my life."

Discussion Questions for Administration

1. How can we support staff in maintaining resident privacy while providing emotional support?
2. What specific mental health resources should be available for LGBTQ residents?
3. How can we create safe spaces without inadvertently outing residents?
4. What documentation practices best protect resident privacy while ensuring quality care?

Case Study Analysis & Prevention Recommendations

The case study "Living Two Lives" offers a poignant look at the unique challenges faced by LGBTQ elders in long-term care settings. These individuals often navigate a complex landscape, balancing their desire for privacy with the need for support and care.

One of the most significant concerns highlighted in the case is the potential impact on resident well-being. The stress of maintaining a "dual life" – navigating personal relationships while adhering to family expectations – can sometimes lead to increased anxiety or emotional distress. Subtle cues, such as changes in mood or engagement, may indicate underlying emotional challenges.

To address these concerns, it's important for nursing home administrators to foster an environment of understanding and respect. Staff training on LGBTQ cultural competency can be valuable. This training can help staff members become more aware of the unique challenges faced by LGBTQ elders and equip them with the knowledge and skills to provide sensitive and supportive care.

Open and honest communication is key. Establishing clear protocols for maintaining resident privacy is essential. This could include guidelines for documentation and communication that prioritize respect for individual preferences.

Providing access to mental health support is also crucial. Offering private counseling sessions with qualified professionals can be beneficial for residents who may be experiencing emotional distress. Support groups, both LGBTQ-specific and general grief support, can provide a valuable sense of community and peer support.

In Eleanor's case, the staff did not pressure her to disclose her sexual orientation to her family. Counseling could potentially assist Eleanor in exploring her feelings about living a "double life" and help her determine if and how to address this aspect of her identity. However, it's crucial to remember that Eleanor has kept this secret for nearly 35 years. Any decision regarding disclosure to her family must ultimately be Eleanor's own, made in a way that feels comfortable and safe for her.

Creating a welcoming and inclusive environment within the facility is important. This can involve subtle yet significant gestures, such as ensuring private spaces for counseling, providing secure storage for personal belongings, and incorporating LGBTQ-affirming themes into activities and programming when appropriate.

It's important to remember that every resident is unique. Building trusting relationships with residents is key. This allows staff to understand their individual needs and preferences regarding privacy and disclosure.

Ultimately, the goal is to create a care environment where LGBTQ elders feel respected, valued, and supported. By fostering an atmosphere of understanding

and providing appropriate resources, nursing homes can play a vital role in improving the quality of life for LGBTQ residents.

Case Study 3: Evelyn's Case, Addressing Transgender Discrimination

Evelyn Reed, a vibrant 82-year-old woman, had been a resident at Sunnyside Nursing Home for the past year. Born male, Evelyn had transitioned in her 60s and lived a full and fulfilling life as a woman. However, her transition remained a deeply personal secret, shared only with a few close friends.

At Sunnyside, Evelyn initially felt a sense of belonging. The staff were generally kind, and she enjoyed the social activities. However, two male residents, Mr. Henderson and Mr. Jones, began to make derogatory comments about her appearance. They would stare, make inappropriate remarks about her clothing, and even misgender her, intentionally calling her "he" or using male pronouns.

Evelyn felt increasingly isolated and humiliated. Her appetite dwindled, and she withdrew from social activities, spending most of her time in her room. The fear and anxiety she experienced began to impact her sleep and overall health.

One day, the Charge Nurse, Sarah, noticed Evelyn's distress. After a gentle conversation, Evelyn confided in Sarah about the harassment. Sarah immediately reported the incident to both the Director of Nursing (DON), Janice, and the Nursing Home Administrator (NHA), Ms. Davis.

Recognizing the seriousness of the situation, both Janice and Ms. Davis acted immediately.

- **Immediate Intervention:** Janice personally intervened with the assistance of the Social Worker, speaking to Mr. Henderson and Mr. Jones individually.

She firmly explained that their behavior was unacceptable and disrespectful, emphasizing the nursing home's commitment to providing a safe and inclusive environment for all residents. She reminded them of the resident rights policy and the importance of treating all residents with dignity and respect.

- **Increased Supervision:** Staff were instructed to closely monitor the common areas and intervene immediately if they witnessed any further harassment.
- **Re-arranged Seating:** Mr. Henderson and Mr. Jones were relocated to different areas during meals and social activities to minimize contact with Evelyn.
- **Counseling Referrals:** Janice arranged for individual counseling sessions for Mr. Henderson and Mr. Jones to address any underlying biases or prejudices. As well as support for Evelyn due to the incidents.
- **Staff Education:** Ms. Davis organized a mandatory staff education session on LGBTQ+ sensitivity and respect for diversity, focusing on protecting residents from resident to resident abuse and how to respond.
- **Confidentiality:** Both Janice and Ms. Davis emphasized the importance of maintaining Evelyn's confidentiality and respecting her privacy regarding her gender identity.

To ensure Evelyn's well-being, the following additional measures were implemented:

- **Increased Support for Evelyn:** The nursing staff provided increased support and reassurance to Evelyn, checking in with her regularly and offering her

opportunities to participate in activities she enjoyed. Evelyn was added to the Social Workers' team for routine visits.

- **Involvement of the Ombudsman:** The nursing home ombudsman was contacted to provide support and advocate for Evelyn's rights.
- **Family Involvement:** With Evelyn's consent, Ms. Davis reached out to Evelyn's family to inform them of the situation and discuss how they could best support Evelyn.

Through the swift and decisive actions of the DON, the NHA, and the entire staff, the harassment gradually subsided. Evelyn regained her confidence and began to re-engage in social activities. She continued to receive support from the nursing home staff and felt more comfortable and secure in her living environment.

This story focuses on a positive resolution. It's important to acknowledge that addressing such situations can be complex and challenging, and there may not always be a guaranteed "happy ending."

This story serves as a reminder of the importance of a proactive and compassionate response to instances of harassment in long-term care settings. By prioritizing resident safety, respecting individual rights, and fostering an inclusive environment, nursing homes can ensure that all residents, regardless of their gender identity, feel valued, respected, and safe.

Discussion Questions for Administration

1. What systems can we implement to encourage residents to feel comfortable reporting incidents of harassment without fear of retaliation or judgment?

2. How can we actively involve residents and their families in creating a more inclusive and respectful community within the facility?
3. If confronted with a situation where resident-on-resident harassment persists despite initial interventions, what escalation steps would you take? How would you involve resident rights, family members, and potentially external resources such as the Long-Term Care Ombudsman?

Key Takeaways

- Microaggressions have a significant impact on staff well-being: The case of Marcus demonstrates how subtle forms of discrimination, such as microaggressions, can create a hostile work environment, leading to decreased job satisfaction, increased stress, and potential burnout.
- Addressing discrimination requires a multi-faceted approach: Effective responses require immediate interventions to address specific incidents, along with long-term strategies such as policy development, staff training, and cultural change initiatives.
- Respecting resident autonomy is paramount: In the case of Eleanor, the importance of respecting resident autonomy and privacy is emphasized. While staff should provide support and resources, the decision to disclose personal information, such as sexual orientation, must ultimately rest with the resident.
- Creating an inclusive environment requires ongoing effort: Fostering a truly inclusive environment requires continuous effort, including regular staff training, ongoing monitoring of the workplace climate, and a commitment to addressing any instances of discrimination promptly and effectively.

- Resident-on-resident harassment is a serious concern: The case of Evelyn highlights the importance of addressing resident-on-resident harassment promptly and effectively. This may involve interventions with the offending residents, increased staff supervision, and the involvement of external resources such as the Long-Term Care Ombudsman.
- Building trust is essential: Building trusting relationships with residents is crucial for identifying their needs, addressing their concerns, and providing appropriate support.

Section 9: Conclusion

This course has provided a comprehensive understanding of the unique needs and challenges faced by LGBTQ+ individuals, particularly within the context of long-term care settings. As a Nursing Home Administrator (NHA), it is critical to ensure that both residents and staff feel respected, valued, and supported in an inclusive and equitable environment. Below, we summarize the key learnings and strategies for creating a more culturally competent and affirming care environment for LGBTQ+ individuals.

The course began with an overview of LGBTQ+ terminology and concepts, emphasizing the importance of understanding basic terms such as gender identity, gender expression, and sexual orientation. By grasping the historical context of LGBTQ+ rights and the challenges LGBTQ+ individuals have faced, administrators can better empathize with the community's experiences. Key milestones, like the removal of homosexuality from the DSM and the legalization of same-sex marriage, have shaped the evolving landscape of LGBTQ+ rights and access to care. Recognizing the impact of historical trauma, healthcare disparities, and discrimination is essential for building trust with LGBTQ+ residents, who may be hesitant to seek care due to past negative experiences.

Moreover, the aging LGBTQ+ population faces unique challenges. Older LGBTQ+ adults often experience social isolation, lack of family support, and a history of discrimination that can impact their physical and emotional well-being.

Understanding these challenges and incorporating appropriate support systems into care plans is crucial for providing effective person-centered care.

Discrimination and abuse, whether subtle or overt, have no place in long-term care facilities. The course emphasized the importance of recognizing different forms of discrimination, including microaggressions and systemic barriers.

Prevention strategies should include regular staff training programs, the establishment of robust reporting systems, and comprehensive monitoring of the facility environment to detect any signs of discrimination or mistreatment.

Protective measures, such as creating a safe reporting environment and encouraging community engagement, can help prevent discrimination from occurring in the first place.

Building an inclusive environment requires intentional efforts to address systemic barriers and microaggressions that LGBTQ+ individuals may encounter.

Microaggressions, such as misgendering or assuming heterosexuality, can significantly affect residents' mental health and their willingness to engage with staff. Administrators should foster a culture of respect through staff training that focuses on LGBTQ+ cultural competency, including recognizing and addressing implicit biases.

Inclusive practices extend to environmental considerations as well. This involves providing gender-neutral restrooms, offering inclusive signage, and ensuring that activities and events cater to the diverse needs of LGBTQ+ residents. Best practices for communication, such as using inclusive language and respecting gender-affirming care approaches, should be embedded in daily operations.

Additionally, ensuring access to LGBTQ+-competent healthcare providers is vital for providing appropriate care that respects residents' identities.

A key element in promoting fairness and equality is ensuring that both residents and staff have clear and accessible grievance procedures. The course covered the critical components of a grievance process, including the need for clear reporting channels, documentation, confidentiality, and timely resolution. Administrators must take all grievances seriously and handle them with the utmost care, particularly when they involve issues of discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity, or other protected characteristics.


Conflict resolution and mediation are integral to maintaining a harmonious and inclusive environment. Staff should be trained in de-escalation strategies and cultural sensitivity to ensure that disputes are handled respectfully and effectively. Additionally, the grievance process must safeguard against retaliation and monitor trends to identify any systemic issues that need to be addressed.

The importance of the ombudsman in protecting residents' rights was highlighted. Ombudsmen serve as vital advocates, helping residents navigate the complex grievance process and ensuring that their concerns are addressed impartially. Collaborating with external LGBTQ+ organizations, community resources, and legal advocacy services can provide additional support to residents, especially when navigating issues of discrimination or access to care.

At the core of all care practices is the need for person-centered care planning. This includes conducting thorough assessments of each resident's needs, preferences, and life experiences, including their sexual orientation and gender identity. Person-centered care involves considering not only medical needs but also social, emotional, and cultural preferences. Family and partner involvement is critical in the care planning process to ensure that the resident's values are respected and

upheld. Ongoing monitoring and quality assurance measures should be in place to track progress and make adjustments as necessary.

In conclusion, this course has equipped you with the knowledge and tools to create a more inclusive, respectful, and supportive environment for LGBTQ+ residents and staff. The key takeaway is that fostering a truly inclusive environment requires a commitment to ongoing education, policy development, and cultural sensitivity. By addressing the unique needs of LGBTQ+ individuals through inclusive practices, anti-discrimination policies, and person-centered care, nursing home administrators can enhance the quality of care and improve the overall experience for both residents and staff. By taking these steps, we contribute to a culture of equality, respect, and dignity for all individuals, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity.




References

- Beneficiary Counseling & Ombudsman Programs*. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. (n.d.). <https://www.cms.gov/medicaid-chip/medicare-coordination/financial-alignment/beneficiary-counseling-ombudsman#:~:text=Ombudsman%20programs%20provide%20beneficiaries%20with,for%20improvement%20within%20the%20demonstrations>
- Boyle, P. (2022, April 12). *What is gender-affirming care? Your questions answered*. Association of American Medical Colleges. <https://www.aamc.org/news/what-gender-affirming-care-your-questions-answered>
- Cable News Network. (2024, November 11). *LGBTQ Rights Milestones Fast Facts*. CNN. <https://www.cnn.com/2015/06/19/us/lgbt-rights-milestones-fast-facts/index.html>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024, June 24). *Cultural Competence in Health and Human Services*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://npin.cdc.gov/pages/cultural-competence-health-and-human-services>
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. (2024, August 8). *Guidance to Surveyors for Long Term Care Facilities*. https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/downloads/som107ap_pp_guidelines_ltcf.pdf
- Crouch, L. (2021, June 2). *LGBTQIA+ Health Disparities*. Social Welfare History Project. <https://socialwelfare.library.vcu.edu/programs/health-nutrition/lgbtqia-health-disparities/>

- Fawbush, J. (2022, February 28). *Bostock v. Clayton County Case Summary*.
<https://supreme.findlaw.com/supreme-court-insights/bostock-v--clayton-county-case-summary-.html>
- Flores, A. R., & Conron, K. J. (2023, December). *Adult LGBT population in the United States*. Williams Institute School of Law. <https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/LGBT-Adult-US-Pop-Dec-2023.pdf>
- Glossary of Terms*. Human Rights Campaign. (n.d.). <https://www.hrc.org/resources/glossary-of-terms>
- Mental health equity: Barriers and solutions*. Big Health. (2021, March 17). <https://www.bighealth.com/blog/mental-health-equity-barriers-and-solutions-recap#:~:text=Systemic%20barriers:&text=Lack%20of%20availability%20of%20culturally,psychologists%20are%20Black/African%20American>
- National Long-Term Care Ombudsman Resource Center. (2024). About ombudsman. <https://ltcombudsman.org/about/about-ombudsman>
- Phan, M. M., Graham, C., Moffett, T., & Flatt, J. (2023, September 1). *Meeting the health and social needs of LGBTQ+ older adults through Medicaid*. Center for Health Care Strategies. <https://www.chcs.org/resource/meeting-the-health-and-social-needs-of-lgbtq-older-adults-through-medicaid/>
- Washington, E. F. (2022, May 10). *Recognizing and Responding to Microaggressions at Work*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2022/05/recognizing-and-responding-to-microaggressions-at-work>
- UC Davis LGBTQIA Resource Center. (n.d.). Glossary. UC Davis. <https://lgbtqia.ucdavis.edu/educated/glossary>

Your Rights and Protections as a Nursing Home Resident. (n.d.). [https://
downloads.cms.gov/medicare/
Your_Resident_Rights_and_Protections_section.pdf](https://downloads.cms.gov/medicare/Your_Resident_Rights_and_Protections_section.pdf)





The material contained herein was created by EdCompass, LLC ("EdCompass") for the purpose of preparing users for course examinations on websites owned by EdCompass, and is intended for use only by users for those exams. The material is owned or licensed by EdCompass and is protected under the copyright laws of the United States and under applicable international treaties and conventions. Copyright 2025 EdCompass. All rights reserved. Any reproduction, retransmission, or republication of all or part of this material is expressly prohibited, unless specifically authorized by EdCompass in writing.